


IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
FA No.748 of 2000
STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
Versus
KHADERAN SAO
With
F.A. No. 749 of 2000
STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
Versus
GANESHI YADAV
With
F.A. No. 750 of 2000
STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
Versus
GANESHI YADAV
With
F.A. No. 753 of 2000
STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
Versus
SUDESHWAR DUSADH
With
F.A. No. 754 of 2000
STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
Versus
RAMADHAR SAO
With
F.A. No. 755 of 2000
STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
Versus
NAGINA YADAV
With
F.A. No. 756 of 2000
STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
Versus
RAM NARESH SINGH & ORS
With
F.A. No. 758 of 2000
STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
Versus
RAM NARESH SINGH
With
F.A. No. 763 of 2000
STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
Versus
SIDHESHWAR DUSADH
With
F.A. No. 765 of 2000
STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR

Versus
 TETARI DEVI
 With
 F.A. No. 770 of 2000
 STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
 Versus
 MAHADEO YADAV
 With
 F.A. No. 771 of 2000
 STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
 Versus
 SMT. SUNAINA DEVI
 With
 F.A. No. 308 of 1994
 STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
 Versus
 BASUDEO SAO
 With
 F.A. No. 752 of 2000
 STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
 Versus
 NAGESHWAR DUSADH
 With
 F.A. No. 224 of 1994
 STATE OF BIHAR
 Versus
 FULLA DEVI
 With
 F.A. No. 122 of 2001
 STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
 Versus
 RAMANAND KUMAR MISHRA & ORS
 With
 F.A. No. 119 of 2001
 STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
 Versus
 SHOBHA KANT JHA
 With
 F.A. No. 301 of 1994
 STATE OF BIHAR, THROUGH THE COLLECTOR
 Versus
 RUPAN GOPE
 With
 F.A. No. 668 of 1977
 STATE OF BIHAR
 Versus
 Md. USMUDDIN



With
F.A. No. 46 of 1993
STATE OF BIHAR
Versus
SMT. BASURNI DEVI

5 21.10.2008 Heard counsel for the Appellants in the aforesaid appeals.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant-State would submit that in terms of the notification dated 10.11.2006 as also these appeals are valued less than Rs. 50,000/-, the State would no longer press these appeals and accordingly they may be permitted to be withdrawn.

Consequently, all these appeals are permitted to be withdrawn with a direction to the State to pay the amount in terms of the impugned award within a period of six months from today with full admissible amount including solatium and interest thereon till the date of payment as directed under the impugned awards. .

With the aforementioned observations and directions these appeals are disposed of.

Bibhash

(Mihir Kumar Jha, J.)